	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/019,400	YAMASOTO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory Pickett	3728
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 27 May 2005.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-5 and 13-22</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on <u>28 December 2001</u> are accepted by the Examiner.		
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
 6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted. (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d). 		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
 Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date 5/27/05 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amend	ite
	·	Greg Pickett, Examiner 28 July 2005

Art Unit: 3728

Reasons for Allowance

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The amended claims define the sheets as fixed at the peripheral areas by heat sealing. In the examiner's opinion, the prior art neither discloses, nor fairly suggests the hygroscopic material layers located between the moisture-permeable layers and screen layers at the peripheral areas. The closest available references are Caggiano and Yuichi. Caggiano clearly teaches away from the claimed arrangement. Yuichi is primarily silent on the structural arrangement at the peripheral area, yet teaches an arrangement in which the moisture-absorbing agent is "not exposed" (see PTO Translation 2003-3872 at page 7, lines 7-9). Placement of the hygroscopic material layers between the moisture-permeable layers and screen layers at the peripheral areas as claimed would expose the end portions of the hygroscopic layer to ambient air, and therefore runs counter to the teachings of Yuichi.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Greg Pickett Examiner 28 July 2005

DAVID 1. FIDEY PRIMARY EXAMINER